

ON CONVEX UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

-ABSTRACT-

T.CHIBA

Let  $F$  denote the class of functions which are regular and convex for  $|z| < 1$  and are normalized by  $f(0)=0$  and  $f'(0)=1$ . T.Basgöze, J.L.Frank and F.R.Keogh [1] showed the solution of the problem of determining necessary and sufficient conditions on complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$  under which for all  $f(z)=z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in F$ ,  $\lambda z + \mu a_1 z^2$  is convex and

$$\frac{1}{2} z < \lambda z + \mu a_1 z^2 < f(z).$$

On the basis of those ideas we consider the conditions of complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$  under which

$\lambda z + \mu a_n z^n$  is convex and

$$\frac{1}{2} z < \lambda z + \mu a_n z^n < f(z).$$

Theorem 3 gives the necessary conditions for  $\lambda, \mu$ .

In §.3 we discuss the sufficiency of  $\lambda, \mu$  which is described by Theorem 3. However, in general, it is difficult to consider them for all  $f(z) \in F$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because it needs the explicit solutions of

the algebraic equations of degree  $n$ . But in Theorem 5

for each odd number  $n$ , we show a result. Furthermore,

Theorem 6 shows that if  $n$  is odd, the conditions of

$\lambda, \mu$  which we have obtained in Theorem 3 is necessary

and sufficient with respect to the representative

convex function  $f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ .

- [1] T.Basgöze, J.L.Frank and F.R.Keogh, On convex univalent functions, Can. J.Math.22 (1970)

# ON CONVEX UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

T.CHIBA

## §.1 Introduction

Let  $F$  denote the class of functions which are regular and convex for  $|z| < 1$  and are normalized by

$$f(0)=0, f'(0)=1.$$

T.Basgöze, J.L.Frank and F.R.Keogh [1] showed the solution of the problem of determining necessary and sufficient conditions on complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$  under which, for all  $f(z) = z + \sum_1 a_n z^n \in F$ ,

$\lambda z + \mu a_2 z^2$  is convex and

$$\frac{1}{2} z \prec \lambda z + \mu a_2 z^2 \prec f(z) .$$

On the basis of those ideas we consider the conditions of complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$  under which

$\lambda z + \mu a_n z^n$  is convex and

$$\frac{1}{2} z \prec \lambda z + \mu a_n z^n \prec f(z) .$$

§.2 Suppose that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are regular for  $|z| < 1$ ,  $f(z)$  is univalent, and  $g(z)$  is subordinate to  $f(z)$ , that is,

$$g(0)=f(0)=0, g(|z|<1) \subset f(|z|<1).$$

We shall then write

$$g(z) \prec f(z).$$

For all  $w = f(z) \in F$ , it is known that  $f(|z| < 1)$  contains the disk  $|w| < \frac{1}{2}$ , i.e., that  $\frac{1}{2}z \prec f(z)$ , (see, for example, [2] P.13).

The purpose of this note is to consider the conditions of complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$  under which, for all  $f(z) \in F$ ,  $\lambda z + \mu a_n z^n$  is convex and

$$(*) \quad \frac{1}{2}z \prec \lambda z + \mu a_n z^n \prec f(z).$$

The solution for  $n=2$  was showed by T.Basgöze, J.L.Frank and F.R.Keogh [1].

In this case the relation between  $\lambda, \mu$  is

$$\lambda = \mu + \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{6}.$$

Theorem 1. If the left-hand relation in (\*) is satisfied for all  $f(z) = z + \sum_2 a_n z^n \in F$ , then

$$|\lambda| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Generally  $g(z) \prec f(z)$  implies  $|g'(0)| \leq |f'(0)|$  (see, for example, [3] P.228). Q.E.D.

By considering  $\lambda e^{i\theta} z + \mu e^{i n \theta} a_n z^n$ , it is easily seen that we may suppose with no effective loss of generality that  $\lambda$  is real and positive.

Theorem 2. The function  $z + c z^n$  is convex if and only if  $|c| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$ .

Proof. For all  $f(z) \in F$ , it is known that the function  $z f'(z)$  is starlike for  $|z| < 1$  [4].

If  $z + c z^n \in F$ , then  $z f'(z) = z + n \cdot c \cdot z^n$ , this implies  
 $n \cdot n |c| \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $|c| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$  (5).

Conversely, if  $|c| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$ , then

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \left( z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right| \leq \left| z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq n(n+1) \left| \frac{c z^{n-1}}{1 - n c z^{n-1}} \right| \leq 1.$$

So that  $z + c z^n$  is convex.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3. If for all  $f(z) \in F$ ,  $\lambda z + \mu a_n z^n$  is convex  
 and  $\frac{1}{2} z \prec \lambda z + \mu a_n z^n \prec f(z)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= (-1)^n \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right), \\ 0 &\leq (-1)^n \mu \leq \frac{2\lambda - 1}{2(n-1)} \quad (n=2, 3, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If  $\lambda z + \mu a_n z^n$  is convex for all  $f(z) \in F$ ,  
 then, with  $f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + z^2 + \dots$ ,  
 by Theorem 2 we must have  $\left| \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$ , i.e.,

$$|\mu| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \lambda \quad \dots \dots \dots (1).$$

The minimum value of  $|\lambda z + \mu z^n|$  on  $|z|=1$  is then  $\lambda - |\mu|$ ;  
 hence  $\frac{1}{2} z \prec \lambda z + \mu z^n$  implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda - |\mu| \quad \dots \dots \dots (2).$$

With the same  $f(z)$ , if  $\lambda z + \mu z^n \prec f(z)$ , then,  
 for all real  $x$ ,  $-1 < x < 1$ , we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \prec \lambda x + \operatorname{Re} \mu \cdot x^n,$$

and allowing  $x \rightarrow -1$ ,

$$\lambda - \frac{1}{2} = (-1)^n \operatorname{Re} \mu \quad \dots \dots \dots (3).$$

If we put  $\lambda - \frac{1}{2} = \nu$  ( $\geq 0$ ),  $\mu = a + ib$  ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ),

then from (3) we have

$$(-1)^n a \geq \nu, \quad \text{i.e., } a^2 \geq \nu^2 \quad \dots \dots \dots (4).$$

And from (2) we have

$$\nu^2 \geq a^2 + b^2 \quad \dots \dots \dots (5).$$

From (4), (5) we obtain  $b=0$ ,  $\nu = (-1)^n a$ .

This implies

$$a = (-1)^n v, \text{ or} \\ \mu = (-1)^n \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Further from  $(-1)^n \mu = \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \geq 0$ ,  $(-1)^n \mu = |\mu|$ ,  
we get by (1)

$$(-1)^n \mu \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ (-1)^n \mu + \frac{1}{2} \right\}, \text{ i.e.,} \\ 0 \leq (-1)^n \mu \leq \frac{1}{2(n^2-1)}.$$

Q.E.D.

Theorem 4. If about  $\lambda, \mu$ , the conditions

$$\mu = (-1)^n \left( \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \right), \\ 0 \leq (-1)^n \mu \leq \frac{1}{2(n^2-1)}$$

are satisfied, then  $\lambda z + \mu a_n z^n$  is convex.

Proof. Suppose that

$$\left| \frac{\mu a_n}{(-1)^n \mu + \frac{1}{2}} \right| > \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

From  $(-1)^n \mu = |\mu|$ ,  $|a_n| \leq 1$  [4], we get

$$\frac{(-1)^n \mu}{(-1)^n \mu + \frac{1}{2}} > \frac{1}{n^2},$$

and we obtain

$$(-1)^n \mu > \frac{1}{2(n^2-1)}.$$

This is a contradiction.

And from Theorem 2, the proof is completed.

Q.E.D.

§.3 The purpose of the remainder of this note is to consider the relations of  $f(z) \in F, V_n(z, f), U_n(z, f)$ , where  $V_n(z, f)$  and  $U_n(z, f)$  are defined by

$$V_n(z, f) = \lambda z + (-1)^n \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right) a_n z^n, \\ U_n(z, f) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(-1)^n}{2(n^2-1)} \right\} z + \frac{(-1)^n}{2(n^2-1)} a_n z^n.$$

For  $n=2$ , the relation

$$V_2(z, f) \prec U_2(z, f) \prec f(z)$$

is known [1].

But in general it is difficult to consider them for all  $f(z) \in F$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because it needs the explicit solutions of the algebraic equations of degree  $n$ .

But for each odd number  $n$ , we get next theorem,

Theorem 5. For all  $f(z) \in F$ , and odd number  $n$ , we get the relation

$$U_n(z, f) \prec V_n(z, f),$$

in particular, for  $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Max} |f(z)| + \frac{1}{4}$ ,

$$U_n(z, f) \prec V_n(z, f) \prec f(z), \quad (n=2m+1, m=1, 2, \dots).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2,  $V_n(z, f)$  and  $U_n(z, f)$  are convex.

Furthermore since

$$\frac{1}{2} = \min_{|z|=1} |V_n(z, f)| \geq \text{Max}_{|z|=1} |U_n(z, f)| = \frac{n^2 - 2 + |a_n|}{2(n^2 - 1)},$$

we have

$$U_n(|z| < 1, f) \subset V_n(|z| < 1, f),$$

and we can write

$$U_n(z, f) \prec V_n(z, f).$$

Since  $|a_n| \leq 1$  (see, for example, [4] P.307), we get

$$\text{Max} |V_n(z, f)| = \lambda + \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\right) |a_n| \leq 2\lambda - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then if we assume  $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Max} |f(z)| + \frac{1}{4}$ , we get

$$V_n(|z| < 1, f) \subset f(|z| < 1),$$

that is,  $V_n(z, f) \prec f(z)$ . Thus the Theorem is proved.

Q.E.D.

Theorem 6. Let  $f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$  for  $|z| < 1$ .  
Then for each odd number  $n$ , we get the relation

$$U_n(z, f) < V_n(z, f) < f(z).$$

Proof. It is well known that  $w = f(z) \in F$ , and it maps  $|z| < 1$  onto the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} w > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

Furthermore considering

$$V_n(1, f) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad V_n(-1, f) = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \overline{V_n(\bar{z}, f)} = V_n(z, f),$$

and univalence and convexity of  $V_n(z, f)$ , we get

$$V_n(z, f) < f(z).$$

Combining Theorem 5, the theorem is proved. Q.E.D.

In conclusion we remark that from Theorem 3 and Theorem 6, necessary and sufficient conditions on  $\lambda, \mu$  is

$$\mu = (-1)^n \left( \lambda \pm \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad 0 \leq (-1)^n \mu \leq \frac{1}{2(n^2 - 1)}$$

with respect to  $f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ , and odd number  $n$ .

REFERENCES

1. T. Başgöze, J. L. Frank and F. R. Keogh, On convex univalent functions, *Can. J. Math.* 22(1970)
2. W. K. Hayman, Multivalent functions, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, No. 48. (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1958)
3. Z. Nehari, *Conformal mapping* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952)
4. M. Tsuji, *Fukuso-kansūron*, (Maki-syoten, Tokyo, 1968)
5. J. Clunie and F. R. Keogh, On starlike and convex schlicht functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* 35(1960)